

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/430,050	10/29/1999	MICHAEL S.H. CHU	1001.1258101	6707	
75	590 07/10/2002				
DAVID M CROMPTON CROMPTON SEAGER & TUFTE LLC 331 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 895 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 554012246		EXAMINER			
			LAM, ANN Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3763		
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N . Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/430,050	CHU ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ann Y. Lam	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPR Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 36(a) and the appropriate exite. The appropriate exite. The final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • •	•	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-15 and 21</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	······································	
10. Other:		000	1

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

7/5/02

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/430,050

Continuation of 2. NOTE: While the amendment positively claiming a compressible valve sleeve does further define the claims, the amendment however does not overcome a rejection under Heck because the medical device disclosed in Heck is considered a compressible valve sleeve, see column 1, lines 13-24, and such valve sleeve is compressed by element (56), see column 6, lines 43-53. Applicant needs to further defin Applicant's claimed invintion in order to clearly overcome Heck..

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER